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## ASSETS

Current Assets:

|  | December 3, 2011 |  | May 28, 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Current Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 181.0 | \$ | 142.2 |
| Marketable securities |  | 9.7 |  | 11.0 |
| Accounts receivable, net |  | 189.1 |  | 193.1 |
| Inventories, net |  | 68.1 |  | 66.2 |
| Prepaid expenses and other |  | 44.9 |  | 59.2 |
| Total current assets |  | 492.8 |  | 471.7 |
| Property and equipment, at cost |  | 712.1 |  | 713.4 |
| Less - accumulated depreciation |  | (550.6) |  | (544.3) |
| Net property and equipment |  | 161.5 |  | 169.1 |
| Other Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles |  | 132.7 |  | 133.6 |
| Other amortizable intangibles, net |  | 23.5 |  | 24.3 |
| Other noncurrent assets |  | 9.6 |  | 9.3 |
| Total other assets |  | 165.8 |  | 167.2 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 820.1 | \$ | 808.0 |

## LIABILITIES \& STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Current Liabilities:
Accounts payable
Accrued compensation and benefits

Other accrued liabilities

## Total current liabilities

Long-term Liabilities:

| Long-term debt, less current maturities | 250.0 | 250.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pension and post-retirement benefits | 50.6 | 51.6 |
| Other liabilities | 32.9 | 35.6 |
|  | $\mathbf{3 3 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 7 . 2}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{5 6 9 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 3 . 0}$ |

## Total Liabilities

| $\$$ | 110.0 | $\$$ | 112.7 |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 53.0 |  | 77.1 |  |
| 72.9 |  | 76.0 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 3 5 . 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 6 5 . 8}$ |
|  |  | 250.0 |  |
|  | 250.0 |  | 51.6 |
|  | 50.6 |  | 35.6 |
| $\mathbf{3 2 3 . 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{3 3 7 . 2}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{5 6 9 . 4}$ |  | $\mathbf{6 0 3 . 0}$ |  |

Stockholders' Equity:
Preferred stock, no par value ( $10,000,000$ shares authorized, none issued)

|  | - | - |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 11.7 | 11.6 |  |
| 87.1 | 82.0 |  |  |
|  | 263.9 | 218.2 |  |
|  | $(109.6)$ | $(104.2)$ |  |
|  | $(2.4)$ | $(2.6)$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 5 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 5 . 0}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{8 2 0 . 1}$ | $\$$ | $\mathbf{8 0 8 . 0}$ |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

## Net Sales

Cost of Sales

## Gross Margin

Operating Expenses
Restructuring and Other Related Expenses
Operating Earnings
Other Expenses:
Interest expense
Other, net
Earnings Before Income Taxes
Income Tax Expense

## Net Earnings

Earnings Per Share - Basic
Earnings Per Share - Diluted
Dividends Declared, Per Share

| Three Months Ended |  |  |  | Six Months Ended |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { December 3, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { November 27, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { December 3, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { November 27, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| \$ | 445.6 | \$ | 412.2 \$ | \$ 903.7 | \$ | 792.9 |
|  | 293.5 |  | 276.4 | 597.3 |  | 533.5 |
|  | 152.1 |  | 135.8 | 306.4 |  | 259.4 |
|  | 111.4 |  | 102.2 | 223.9 |  | 195.7 |
|  | - |  | 2.1 | - |  | 3.0 |
|  | 40.7 |  | 31.5 | 82.5 |  | 60.7 |
|  | 4.7 |  | 5.1 | 9.2 |  | 10.1 |
|  | 0.4 |  | 0.1 | 0.9 |  | 1.1 |
|  | 35.6 |  | 26.3 | 72.4 |  | 49.5 |
|  | 11.9 |  | 8.4 | 24.1 |  | 15.5 |
| \$ | 23.7 | \$ | 17.9 \$ | \$ 48.3 | \$ | 34.0 |
| \$ | 0.41 | \$ | 0.31 \$ | \$ 0.83 | \$ | 0.60 |
| \$ | 0.41 | \$ | 0.26 \$ | \$ 0.83 | \$ | 0.48 |
| \$ | 0.0220 | \$ | 0.0220 \$ | \$ 0.0440 | \$ | 0.0440 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings | \$ | 48.3 | \$ | 34.0 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 19.0 |  | 19.7 |
| Stock-based compensation |  | 3.0 |  | 1.9 |
| Pension and post-retirement expense |  | 5.6 |  | 6.6 |
| Restructuring and other related expenses |  | - |  | 3.0 |
| Contingent consideration adjustment |  | - |  | (9.7) |
| Other, net |  | 1.5 |  | 1.3 |
| Increase in current assets |  | (11.8) |  | (64.4) |
| Increase (decrease) in current liabilities |  | (19.9) |  | 47.9 |
| Decrease in non-current liabilities |  | (7.0) |  | (9.8) |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities |  | 38.7 |  | 30.5 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from sales of dealers |  | 13.8 |  | - |
| Marketable securities purchases |  | (2.5) |  | (2.0) |
| Marketable securities sales |  | 3.9 |  | 2.8 |
| Capital expenditures |  | (13.2) |  | (12.2) |
| Other, net |  | (1.1) |  | (1.4) |
| Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities |  | 0.9 |  | (12.8) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Dividends paid |  | (2.5) |  | (2.5) |
| Common stock issued |  | 5.1 |  | 1.3 |
| Common stock repurchased and retired |  | (2.7) |  | (0.9) |
| Other, net |  | - |  | (0.3) |
| Net Cash Used in Financing Activities |  | (0.1) |  | (2.4) |
| Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents |  | (0.7) |  | 2.1 |
| Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents |  | 38.8 |  | 17.4 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period |  | 142.2 |  | 130.5 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period | \$ | 181.0 | \$ | 147.9 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

## 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by Herman Miller, Inc. ("the company"), in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. Management believes the disclosures made in this document are adequate with respect to interim reporting requirements.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, taken as a whole, contain all adjustments which are of a normal recurring nature necessary to present fairly the financial position of the company as of December 3,2011 , and the results of its operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented. Operating results for the six-month period ended December 3, 2011, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending June 2, 2012. It is suggested that these condensed consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the company's Form 10-K filing for the year ended May 28, 2011. Certain prior year information has been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

## 2. NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standard Update (ASU) No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 350)Presentation of Comprehensive Income. ASU 2011-05 gives the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. The amendment eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. ASU 2011-05 should be applied retrospectively. The amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The company does not expect the provisions of ASU 2011-05 to have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other (Topic 350)-Testing Goodwill for Impairment. ASU 2011-08 gives the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine if it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. However, if an entity concludes otherwise, then it is required to perform the first step of the two-step impairment test by calculating the fair value of the reporting unit. Under the amendments in this ASU, an entity has the option to bypass the qualitative assessment for any reporting unit in any period and proceed directly to performing the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test. An entity may resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The company does not expect the provisions of ASU 2011-08 to have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

## 3. FISCAL YEAR

The company's fiscal year ends on the Saturday closest to May 31. Fiscal 2012, the year ending June 2, 2012, and fiscal 2011, the year ending May 28, 2011, contain 53 weeks and 52 weeks, respectively. The first six months of fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 contained 27 weeks and 26 weeks, respectively. The three month periods ended December 3, 2011 and November 27, 2010 each contained 13 weeks.

## 4. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income consists of net earnings, foreign currency translation adjustments, and unrealized holding gain (loss) on "available-for-sale" securities and pension liability adjustments. The following table presents comprehensive income for the periods indicated:

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended |  |  |  | Six Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | December 3, 2011 |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { November 27, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | December 3, 2011 |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { November 27, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Net earnings | \$ | 23.7 | \$ | 17.9 | \$ | 48.3 | \$ | 34.0 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments |  | (4.0) |  | 1.6 |  | (5.4) |  | 4.2 |
| Unrealized holding gain (net of tax) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 0.1 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ | 19.7 | \$ | 19.5 | \$ | 42.9 | \$ | 38.3 |

## Acquisitions

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011, the company announced an agreement to acquire POSH Office Systems Ltd., a Hong Kong-based designer, manufacturer, and distributor of office furniture systems, freestanding furniture, seating, and filing and storage with manufacturing in China and distribution in Hong Kong and China. The company currently anticipates the closing for the acquisition of POSH will be completed during fiscal 2012.

## Divestitures

During the first quarter of fiscal 2012 the company completed the sale of two wholly-owned contract furniture dealerships in Texas and Colorado. During the second quarter of fiscal 2012 the company completed the sale of one wholly-owned contract furniture dealership in California. The effect of these transactions on the company's consolidated financial statements was not material.

## 6. INVENTORIES

(In millions)
Finished goods
Work in process
Raw materials
Total

| December 3, 2011 |  | May 28, 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 37.9 | \$ | 34.6 |
|  | 9.5 |  | 11.6 |
|  | 20.7 |  | 20.0 |
| \$ | 68.1 | \$ | 66.2 |

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market and include material, labor, and overhead. The inventories of the majority of domestic manufacturing subsidiaries are valued using the last-in, first-out method (LIFO). The inventories of all other subsidiaries are valued using the first-in, first-out method.

## 7. GOODWILL AND INDEFINITE-LIVED INTANGIBLES

Goodwill and other indefinite-lived assets included in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets consist of the following as of December 3, 2011 and May 28, 2011:
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrr} & & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total Goodwill and } \\ \text { Indefinite-lived } \\ \text { Indefinite-lived }\end{array} \\ \text { Intangible Assets }\end{array}\right)$

## 8. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

The following tables summarize the costs of the company's employee pension and other post-retirement plans for the periods indicated.

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pension Benefits |  |  |  | Other Post-Retirement Benefits |  |  |  |
|  | December 3, 2011 |  | November 27, 2010 |  | December 3, 2011 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { November 27, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Domestic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service cost | \$ | 1.7 | \$ | 1.7 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Interest cost |  | 3.6 |  | 3.7 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |
| Expected return on plan assets |  | (4.8) |  | (4.6) |  | - |  | - |
| Net amortization loss |  | 1.8 |  | 1.5 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ | 2.3 | \$ | 2.3 | \$ | 0.2 | \$ | 0.2 |

International:

| Service cost | \$ | 0.4 | \$ | 0.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest cost |  | 1.0 |  | 1.1 |
| Expected return on plan assets |  | (1.2) |  | (1.1) |
| Net amortization loss |  | 0.1 |  | 0.3 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ | 0.3 | \$ | 0.8 |


| (In millions) | Six Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pension Benefits |  |  |  | Other Post-Retirement Benefits |  |  |  |
|  | December 3, 2011 |  | November 27, 2010 |  | December 3, 2011 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { November 27, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Domestic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service cost | \$ | 3.5 | \$ | 3.4 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Interest cost |  | 7.2 |  | 7.5 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |
| Expected return on plan assets |  | (9.6) |  | (9.2) |  | - |  | - |
| Net amortization loss |  | 3.6 |  | 3.0 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.2 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ | 4.7 | \$ | 4.7 | \$ | 0.3 | \$ | 0.4 |


| International: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service cost | \$ | 0.8 | \$ | 0.9 |
| Interest cost |  | 2.0 |  | 2.1 |
| Expected return on plan assets |  | (2.4) |  | (2.1) |
| Net amortization loss |  | 0.2 |  | 0.6 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ | 0.6 | \$ | 1.5 |

Subsequent to the end of the second quarter the company contributed $\$ 5.1$ million in cash to its domestic benefit plans. The company estimates that contributions of $\$ 4.1$ million will be made to its primary domestic benefit plan in the remaining months of fiscal 2012.

## 9. COMMON STOCK AND EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table reconciles the numerators and denominators used in the calculations of basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS).

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  | Six Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | December 3, 2011 | November 27, 2010 |  | December 3, 2011 |  | November 27, 2010 |  |
| Numerators: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Numerator for basic EPS, net earnings (In millions) | \$ 23.7 | \$ | 17.9 | \$ | 48.3 | \$ | 34.0 |
| Income from adjustments to contingent consideration that can be settled in common stock at the company's option, net of tax (In millions) | - |  | (2.8) |  | - |  | (6.2) |
| Numerator for diluted EPS, net earnings (In millions) | \$ 23.7 | \$ | 15.1 | \$ | 48.3 | \$ | 27.8 |

## Denominators:

Denominator for basic
EPS, weighted-average
common shares
outstanding 58,160,339 57,034,222 58,108,349 57,005,344

Potentially dilutive shares resulting from stock plans and contingent consideration issued for acquisition
EPS 58,387,786 57,668,391 58,375,134 57,766,706

Options to purchase $2,096,240$ shares and $2,484,617$ shares of common stock for the three months ended December 3, 2011 and November 27, 2010, respectively, have not been included in the denominator for the computation of diluted earnings per share because they were anti-dilutive. Options to purchase $1,942,748$ and $2,444,609$ shares of common stock for the six months ended December 3, 2011 and November 27, 2010, respectively, have not been included in the denominator for the computation of diluted earnings per share because they were anti-dilutive.

The company grants restricted stock and restricted stock units to certain key employees which are included in the denominator for diluted EPS. Each restricted stock unit represents one equivalent share of the company's common stock to be awarded, free of restrictions, after the vesting period. However, these shares do not entitle participants to the rights of shareholders of common stock, such as voting rights, and they are forfeitable at all times prior to the vesting date. Dividend-equivalent awards are credited and accumulate quarterly and are forfeitable at all times until vested.

In fiscal 2010, the company acquired Nemschoff Chairs, LLC (Nemschoff) and established a liability for contingent consideration related to the acquisition. This contingent consideration could be settled in cash or stock at the discretion of the company and therefore, any income or loss associated with an adjustment to the fair value of the liability was excluded from the numerator when computing diluted earnings per share. The number of shares required to settle the contingent consideration was, however included in the denominator of potentially dilutive shares. As the contingent consideration was settled in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011, there is no impact on dilutive earnings per share in fiscal 2012.

Compensation costs related to the company's stock-based compensation plans resulted in an expense of $\$ 1.6$ million and $\$ 1.1$ million for the three month periods ending December 3, 2011 and November 27, 2010, respectively. The related income tax benefit was $\$ 0.6$ million and $\$ 0.4$ million for the three month periods ending December 3, 2011 and November 27, 2010, respectively. For the six months ended December 3, 2011 and November 27, 2010, compensation costs were $\$ 3.0$ million and $\$ 1.9$ million, respectively. The related income tax benefit for the respective six-month periods was $\$ 1.1$ million and $\$ 0.7$ million.

Stock-based compensation expense recognized in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended December 3, 2011 and November 27, 2010 has been reduced for estimated forfeitures, as it is based on awards ultimately expected to vest. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Forfeitures are estimated based on historical experience.

## Stock Option Plans

The company has stock option plans under which options to purchase the company's stock are granted to employees and non-employee directors and officers at a price not less than the market price of the company's common stock on the date of grant. Under the current award program, all options become exercisable between one year and three years from date of grant and expire two to ten years from date of grant. Most options are subject to graded vesting with the related compensation expense recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period.

The company estimated the fair value of employee stock options on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes model. In determining these values, the following weighted-average assumptions were used for the periods indicated.

|  | Three Months Ended |  | Six Months Ended |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | December $\text { 3, } 2011 \text { (5) }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { November 27, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 3, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { November 27, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| Risk-free interest rates ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 0.4\% |  | 1.75\% | 0.4\%-2.0\% |
| Expected term of options (in years) ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 2.0 |  | 5.5 | 2.0-5.5 |
| Expected volatility ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | 54.0\% |  | 42.0\% | 42.0\% - 54.0\% |
| Dividend yield ${ }^{(4)}$ |  | 0.4\% |  | 0.34\% | 0.4\%-0.5\% |
| Weighted-average grant-date fair value of stock options: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Granted with exercise prices equal to the fair market value of the stock on the date of grant |  | \$ 5.80 | \$ | 10.15 | \$ 6.74 |

(1) Represents the U.S. Treasury yield over the same period as the expected option term.
(2) Represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. Based on analysis of historical option exercise activity, the company has determined that all employee groups typically exhibit similar exercise and post-vesting termination behavior.
(3) Amount is determined based on analysis of historical price volatility of the company's common stock over a period equal to the expected term of the options. The company also utilizes a market-based or "implied volatility" measure, on exchange-traded options in the company's common stock, as a reference in determining this assumption.
(4) Represents the company's estimated cash dividend yield over the expected term of options.
(5) No stock options were granted during the three months ended December 3, 2011.

## Employee Stock Purchase Program

Under the terms of the company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan, 4 million shares of authorized common stock were reserved for purchase by plan participants at 85.0 percent of the market price. The company recognizes pre-tax compensation expense related to the market value discount.

## Restricted Stock Grants

The company periodically grants restricted common stock to certain key employees. Shares are granted in the name of the employee, who has all the rights of a shareholder, subject to certain restrictions on transferability and risk of forfeiture. The grants are subject to either cliff-based or graded vesting over a period not exceeding five years, and are subject to forfeiture if the employee ceases to be employed by the company for certain reasons. After the vesting period, the risk of forfeiture and restrictions on transferability lapse. The company recognizes the related compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period.

## Restricted Stock Units

The company grants restricted stock units to certain key employees. This program provides that the actual number of restricted stock units awarded is based on the value of a portion of the participant's long-term incentive compensation divided by the fair value of the company's stock on the date of grant. In some years the awards have been partially tied to the company's financial performance for the year in which the grant was based. The awards generally cliff-vest after a three-year service period, with prorated vesting under certain circumstances and full or partial accelerated vesting upon retirement. Each restricted stock unit represents one equivalent share of the company's common stock to be awarded, free of restrictions, after the vesting period. Compensation expense related to these awards is recognized over the requisite service period, which includes any applicable performance period. Dividend equivalent awards are credited quarterly. The units do not entitle participants the rights of shareholders of common stock, such as voting rights until shares are issued after the vesting period.

## 11. INCOME TAXES

The effective tax rates for the three months ended December 3, 2011 and November 27, 2010, were 33.4 percent and 32.0 percent, respectively. For the six months ended December 3, 2011 and November 27, 2010, the effective tax rates were 33.3 percent and 31.3 percent respectively.
The company's United States federal statutory rate is 35 percent. The effective rate in the current year is below the statutory rate primarily due to the manufacturing deduction under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (AJCA). The effective tax rate in the prior year was below the statutory rate primarily due to the manufacturing deduction and foreign tax credits recognized related to a dividend paid.

The company has income tax accruals associated with uncertain tax benefits totaling $\$ 1.7$ million and $\$ 1.9$ million as of December 3, 2011 and November 27, 2010, respectively.

The company recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax benefits through income tax expense in its statement of operations. Interest and penalties recognized in the company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations during the six-month periods ended December 3, 2011 and November 27, 2010 were negligible, respectively. As of December 3, 2011 and November 27, 2010, the company's recorded liability for potential interest and penalties related to uncertain tax benefits totaled $\$ 0.6$ million and $\$ 0.7$ million, respectively.

The company is subject to periodic audits by domestic and foreign tax authorities. Currently, the company is undergoing routine periodic audits in both domestic and foreign tax jurisdictions. It is reasonably possible that the amounts of unrecognized tax benefits could change in the next 12 months as a result of the audits. Tax payments related to these audits, if any, are not expected to be material to the company's Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

For the majority of tax jurisdictions, the company is no longer subject to state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations by tax authorities for fiscal years before 2008.

## 12. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The following describes the methods the company uses to estimate the fair value of financial assets and liabilities, to which there have been no significant changes in the current period:

Available-for-sale securities - The company's available-for-sale marketable securities primarily include asset-backed debt securities and corporate debt securities and are valued using quoted prices for similar securities.

Foreign currency exchange contracts - The company's foreign currency exchange contracts are valued using an approach based on foreign currency exchange rates obtained from active markets. The estimated fair value of forward currency exchange contracts is based on month-end spot rates as adjusted by marketbased current activity.

The following tables set forth financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and the respective pricing levels to which the fair value measurements are classified within the fair value hierarchy as of December 3, 2011 and May 28, 2011.

| December 3, 2011 <br> Quoted Prices With Other <br> Observable Inputs <br> (Level 2) |  |  | May 28, 2011 <br>  |
| :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Quoted Prices With <br> Other Observable Inputs <br> (Level 2) |  |  |

## Financial Liabilities

Foreign currency forward contracts
Total

| $\$$ | 0.1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$$ | 0.1 | 0.3 |

The company does not hold any level 3 investments. The following is a summary of the carrying and market values of the company's marketable securities as of the respective dates.

| (In millions) | December 3, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost |  | Unrealized Gain |  | Unrealized Loss |  | Market Value |  |
| Asset-backed securities | \$ | 0.2 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 0.2 |
| Corporate securities |  | 2.4 |  | - |  | - |  | 2.4 |
| Government obligations |  | 3.2 |  | - |  | - |  | 3.2 |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  | 3.8 |  | 0.1 |  | - |  | 3.9 |
| Total | \$ | 9.6 | \$ | 0.1 | \$ | - | \$ | 9.7 |
|  | May 28, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (In millions) |  | Cost | Unrealized Gain |  | Unrealized Loss |  | Market Value |  |
| Asset-backed securities | \$ | 2.3 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 2.3 |
| Corporate securities |  | 3.6 |  | - |  | - |  | 3.6 |
| Government obligations |  | 1.1 |  | - |  | - |  | 1.1 |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  | 3.9 |  | 0.1 |  | - |  | 4.0 |
| Total | \$ | 10.9 | \$ | 0.1 | \$ | - | \$ | 11.0 |

The company reviews its investment portfolio for any unrealized losses that would be deemed other-than-temporary and require the recognition of an impairment loss in income. If the cost of an investment exceeds its fair value, the company evaluates, among other factors, general market conditions, the duration and extent to which the fair value is less than its cost, the company's intent to hold the investments, and whether it is more likely than not that the company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost basis. The company also considers the type of security, related industry and sector performance, as well as published investment ratings and analyst reports, to evaluate its portfolio. Once a decline in fair value is determined to be other-than-temporary, an impairment charge is recorded and a new cost basis in the investment is established. If conditions within individual markets, industry segments, or macro-economic environments deteriorate, the company could incur future impairments.

Maturities of debt securities included in marketable securities as of December 3, 2011, are as follows.
(In millions)

Due within one year
Due after one year through five years
Due after five years through ten years Total

| Cost |  | Fair Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 3.4 | \$ | 3.5 |
|  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |
|  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |
| \$ | 9.6 | \$ | 9.7 |

There were no investments in unrealized loss positions as of December 3, 2011 and November 27, 2010.

## 13. WARRANTIES, GUARANTEES AND CONTINGENCIES

Product Warranties
The company provides warranty coverage to the end-user for parts and labor on products sold. The standard length of warranty is 12 years however, this varies depending on the product classification. The company does not sell or otherwise issue warranties or warranty extensions as stand-alone products. Reserves have been established for the various costs associated with the company's warranty program and are included in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets under "Other accrued liabilities." General warranty reserves are based on historical claims experience and other currently available information. These reserves are adjusted once an issue is identified and the actual cost of correction becomes known or can be estimated.

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended |  |  |  | Six Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { December 3, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | November 27,$2010$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { December 3, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { November 27, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Accrual Balance <br> - beginning | \$ | 19.0 | \$ | 16.0 | \$ | 17.0 | \$ | 16.0 |
| Accrual for warranty matters |  | 5.5 |  | 2.7 |  | 12.3 |  | 6.0 |
| Settlements and adjustments |  | (5.8) |  | (2.8) |  | (10.6) |  | (6.1) |
| Accrual Balance — ending | \$ | 18.7 | \$ | 15.9 | \$ | 18.7 | \$ | 15.9 |

## Guarantees

The company is periodically required to provide performance bonds in order to do business with certain customers. These arrangements are common and generally have terms ranging between one and three years. The bonds are required to provide assurances to customers that the products and services they have purchased will be installed and/or provided properly and without damage to their facilities. The bonds are provided by various bonding agencies; however, the company is ultimately liable for claims that may occur against them. As of December 3, 2011, the company had a maximum financial exposure related to performance bonds totaling approximately $\$ 11.6$ million. The company has no history of claims, nor is it aware of circumstances that would require it to pay under any of these arrangements. The company also believes that the resolution of any claims that might arise in the future, either individually or in the aggregate, would not materially affect the company's financial statements. Accordingly, no liability has been recorded as of December 3, 2011 and May 28 , 2011.

The company has entered into standby letter of credit arrangements for the purpose of protecting various insurance companies against default on the payment of certain premiums and claims. A majority of these arrangements are related to the company's wholly-owned captive insurance company. As of December 3 , 2011, the company had a maximum financial exposure from these standby letters of credit totaling approximately $\$ 9.9$ million. The company has no history of claims, nor is it aware of circumstances that would require it to perform under any of these arrangements and believes that the resolution of any claims that might arise in the future, either individually or in the aggregate, would not materially affect the company's financial statements. Accordingly, no liability has been recorded as of December 3, 2011 and May 28, 2011.

## Contingencies

The company leases a facility in the U.K. under an agreement that expired in June 2011, and the company plans to continue to lease the facility on a month to month basis. Under the terms of the lease, the company is required to perform the maintenance and repairs necessary to address the general dilapidation of the facility. The ultimate cost of this provision to the company is dependent on a number of factors including, but not limited to, the future use of the facility by the lessor and whether the company chooses and is permitted to renew the lease term. The company has estimated the cost of these maintenance and repairs to be between $\$ 0$ million and $\$ 3.0$ million, depending on the outcome of future plans and negotiations. As a result, an estimated liability of 1.2 million has been recorded under the caption "Other accrued liabilities" in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 3, 2011, and May 28, 2011, respectively.

The company has an additional lease obligation in the U.K. until May 2014 for a facility that it previously exited. The estimated liability of $\$ 1.4$ million and $\$ 1.7$ million is reflected under the caption "Other liabilities" in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 3, 2011 and May 28, 2011, respectively.

The company, for a number of years, has sold various products to the United States Government under General Services Administration ("GSA") multiple award schedule contracts. Under the terms of these contracts, the GSA is permitted to audit the company's compliance with the GSA contracts. The company has occasionally noted errors in complying with contract provisions. From time to time the company has notified the GSA of known instances of noncompliance (whether favorable or unfavorable to the company) once such circumstances are identified and investigated. The company does not believe that any of the errors brought to the GSA's attention will adversely affect its relationship with the GSA. Currently there are no GSA post-award audits either scheduled or in process. Management does not expect resolution of potential future audits to have a material adverse effect on the company's consolidated financial statements.

The company is also involved in legal proceedings and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the outcome of such proceedings and litigation currently pending will not materially affect the company's consolidated financial statements.

## 14. OPERATING SEGMENTS

During the first quarter of fiscal 2012, we undertook an organizational realignment whereby our products sold related to the consumer retail business, the Herman Miller Collection, and Geiger became the responsibility of one segment manager reporting to our chief operating decision maker. The realignment of these businesses has resulted in a new Specialty and Consumer segment. As a result, our reportable segments now consist of North American Furniture Solutions, Non-North American Furniture Solutions, and Specialty and Consumer. Prior year results have been revised to reflect this change.

The North American Furniture Solutions segment includes the operations associated with the design, manufacture, and sale of furniture products for workrelated settings, including office, education, and healthcare environments, throughout the United States and Canada. The business associated with the company's owned contract furniture dealers is also included in the North American Furniture Solutions segment. The Non-North American Furniture Solutions segment includes the operations associated with the design, manufacture, and sale of furniture products, primarily for work-related settings, for Mexico and outside of North America. The Specialty and Consumer segment includes the operations associated with the design, manufacture, and sale of high-end furniture products including Geiger wood products, Herman Miller Collection products and our North American consumer retail business.

The company also reports a "Corporate" category consisting primarily of startup business and unallocated corporate expenses including restructuring and impairment costs.

The performance of the operating segments is evaluated by the company's management using various financial measures. The following is a summary of certain key financial measures for the respective fiscal periods indicated:

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended |  |  |  | Six Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 3, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { November 27, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 3, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | November 27, 2010 |  |
| Net Sales: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North American Furniture Solutions | \$ | 321.7 | \$ | 307.6 | \$ | 652.2 | \$ | 593.5 |
| Non-North American Furniture Solutions |  | 87.5 |  | 72.7 |  | 172.4 |  | 139.5 |
| Specialty and Consumer |  | 36.4 |  | 31.9 |  | 79.1 |  | 59.9 |
| Corporate |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total | \$ | 445.6 | \$ | 412.2 | \$ | 903.7 | \$ | 792.9 |
| Depreciation and Amortization: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North American Furniture Solutions | \$ | 8.1 | \$ | 8.5 | \$ | 16.2 | \$ | 17.1 |
| Non-North American Furniture Solutions |  | 1.0 |  | 0.8 |  | 1.9 |  | 1.6 |
| Specialty and Consumer |  | 0.5 |  | 0.5 |  | 0.9 |  | 1.0 |
| Corporate |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total | \$ | 9.6 | \$ | 9.8 | \$ | 19.0 | \$ | 19.7 |
| Operating Earnings (Loss): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North American Furniture Solutions | \$ | 28.5 | \$ | 27.4 | \$ | 56.8 | \$ | 53.9 |
| Non-North American Furniture Solutions |  | 8.8 |  | 4.0 |  | 18.4 |  | 6.7 |
| Specialty and Consumer |  | 3.6 |  | 2.9 |  | 7.8 |  | 4.9 |
| Corporate |  | (0.2) |  | (2.8) |  | (0.5) |  | (4.8) |
| Total | \$ | 40.7 | \$ | 31.5 | \$ | 82.5 | \$ | 60.7 |

## Capital Expenditures:

North American Furniture Solutions
Non-North American Furniture Solutions
Specialty and Consumer
Corporate
Total
(In millions)

Total Assets
North American Furniture
Solutions
Non-North American Furniture Solutions
Specialty and Consumer
Corporate
Total

| \$ | 3.6 | \$ | 5.5 | \$ | 7.1 | \$ | 10.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.5 |  | 0.8 |  | 1.6 |  | 1.7 |
|  | 1.5 |  | - |  | 4.5 |  | 0.2 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| \$ | 5.6 | \$ | 6.3 | \$ | 13.2 | \$ | 12.2 |

December 3,

| 2011 |  | May 28, 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 605.1 | \$ | 611.6 |
|  | 159.8 |  | 142.4 |
|  | 55.2 |  | 54.0 |
|  | - |  | - |
| \$ | 820.1 | \$ | 808.0 |


| Total Goodwill |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\quad$ North American Furniture | $\$$ | 102.7 | $\$$ | 103.3 |
| Solutions |  |  |  |  |
| Non-North American Furniture |  | 5.8 | 6.1 |  |
| Solutions | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Specialty and Consumer |  | - |  | - |
|  |  | 109.5 | $\$$ | 110.4 |
| Torporate |  |  |  |  |

The accounting policies of the reportable operating segments are the same as those of the company. Additionally, the company employs a methodology for allocating corporate costs and assets with the underlying objective of this methodology being to allocate corporate costs according to the relative usage of the underlying resources and to allocate corporate assets according to the relative expected benefit. The company has determined that allocation based on relative net sales is most appropriate for all expenses. The majority of corporate costs are allocated to the operating segments; however, certain costs generally considered the result of isolated business decisions are not subject to allocation and are evaluated separately from the rest of the regular ongoing business operations. For example, restructuring charges that are reflected in operating earnings are allocated to the "Corporate" category.

## 15. LONG-TERM DEBT

During the second quarter of fiscal 2012, the company entered into an amendment and restatement of the syndicated revolving line of credit, which provides the company with up to $\$ 150$ million in revolving variable interest borrowing capacity and includes an "accordion feature" allowing the company to increase, at its option and subject to the approval of the participating banks, the aggregate borrowing capacity of the facility by $\$ 75$ million. The facility expires in November of 2016 and outstanding borrowings bear interest at rates based on the prime rate, federal funds rate, LIBOR, or negotiated rates as outlined in the agreement. Interest is payable periodically throughout the period a borrowing is outstanding. As of December 3, 2011 and May 28, 2011, total usage against this facility was $\$ 9.9$ million and $\$ 9.4$ million, respectively, all of which related to outstanding letters of credit.

The following is management's discussion and analysis of certain significant factors that affected the company's financial condition, earnings and cash flow during the periods included in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction with our Annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 28, 2011. References to "Notes" are to the footnote disclosures included in the condensed consolidated financial statements.

## Discussion of Current Business Conditions

Our fiscal 2012 year-to-date results included 27 weeks of operations as opposed to a typical 26 week period. This extra week, which occurred during the first quarter of fiscal 2012, is required approximately every six years in order to re-align our fiscal reporting dates with the actual calendar months. This is a factor that should be considered when comparing our year-to-date financial results to the prior year period, which included 26 weeks of operations.

On a consolidated basis, the company reported net sales in the second quarter of $\$ 445.6$ million; an increase of $8.1 \%$ from the second quarter of fiscal 2011. Net sales were down $\$ 12.5$ million sequentially from sales of $\$ 458.1$ million reported in the first quarter of fiscal 2012. However, net sales were up $4.7 \%$ sequentially, after adjusting for the extra week of operations included in the first quarter of this fiscal year.

Orders in the second quarter were down $1.6 \%$ from the the level reported in the first quarter of this year after adjusting for the extra week. Subsequent to the first quarter of fiscal 2012 we implemented a general price increase averaging 2.5 percent. As a result, we estimate that between $\$ 10$ million and $\$ 12$ million of new orders were received in the first quarter that would have otherwise been entered in the second quarter of fiscal 2012. New orders of $\$ 440.0$ million in the second quarter were $4.7 \%$ lower on a year-over-year basis due to a decrease in orders from the federal government as well as the pull ahead of orders due to the price increase.

Our North American, Non-North American and Specialty and Consumer businesses showed solid year-over-year increases in net sales and profitability growth. The North American business sales and orders were negatively impacted this quarter by the sale of two owned dealerships during June and one in November of fiscal 2012.

Operating earnings in the quarter were $\$ 40.7$ million or 9.1 percent of sales, up $\$ 9.2$ million from the prior year. The company did not incur any restructuring expenses in the quarter compared to $\$ 2.1$ million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011. The prior year quarter included a $\$ 4.4$ million favorable adjustment related to Nemschoff purchase contingencies.

The Business Institutional Furniture Manufacturers Association's (BIFMA) most recent domestic industry forecast was released in November 2011. This forecast anticipated that orders and shipments will moderate for the remainder of calendar 2011, with a full year over year increase of approximately 9 and 15 percent, respectively. BIFMA's outlook for calendar 2012 forecasts orders and shipments decreasing approximately 1 and 2 percent, respectively.

Analysis of Second Quarter Results
The following table presents certain key highlights from the results of operations for the periods indicated.

| (In millions, except per share data) | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { December 3, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { November 27, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | Percent <br> Change | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 3, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { November 27, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | Percent <br> Change |
| Net Sales | \$ | 445.6 | \$ | 412.2 | 8.1 \% | \$ | 903.7 | \$ | 792.9 | 14.0 \% |
| Gross Margin |  | 152.1 |  | 135.8 | 12.0 |  | 306.4 |  | 259.4 | 18.1 |
| Operating Expenses |  | 111.4 |  | 102.2 | 9.0 |  | 223.9 |  | 195.7 | 14.4 |
| Restructuring |  | - |  | 2.1 | (100.0) |  | - |  | 3.0 | (100.0) |
| Operating Earnings |  | 40.7 |  | 31.5 | 29.2 |  | 82.5 |  | 60.7 | 35.9 |
| Net Earnings |  | 23.7 |  | 17.9 | 32.4 |  | 48.3 |  | 34.0 | 42.1 |
| Earnings per share diluted |  | 0.41 |  | 0.26 | 57.7 |  | 0.83 |  | 0.48 | 72.9 |
| Orders |  | 440.0 |  | 461.8 | (4.7) |  | 921.4 |  | 855.7 | 7.7 |
| Backlog | \$ | 280.8 | \$ | 314.6 | (10.7)\% |  |  |  |  |  |

The following table presents, for the periods indicated, the components of the company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations as a percentage of net sales.

|  | Three Months Ended |  | Six Months Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { December 3, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | November 27, 2010 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { December 3, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | November 27, 2010 |
| Net Sales | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Cost of Sales | 65.9 | 67.1 | 66.1 | 67.3 |
| Gross Margin | 34.1 | 32.9 | 33.9 | 32.7 |
| Operating Expenses | 25.0 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.7 |
| Restructuring | - | 0.5 | - | 0.4 |
| Operating Margin | 9.1 | 7.6 | 9.1 | 7.7 |
| Other Expense, net | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| Earnings Before Income Taxes | 8.0 | 6.4 | 8.0 | 6.2 |
| Income Tax Expense | 2.7 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 2.0 |
| Net Earnings | 5.3\% | 4.3\% | 5.3\% | 4.3\% |

Consolidated Sales, Orders, and Backlog
Net sales in the second quarter of fiscal 2012 were $\$ 445.6$ million, an increase of 8.1 percent from the same period last year. Foreign exchange rate changes increased net sales by approximately $\$ 1.1$ million in the second quarter of fiscal year 2012.

On a sequential quarter basis, consolidated net sales were down $\$ 12.5$ million from $\$ 458.1$ million in the first quarter of fiscal 2012, which represents a 2.7 percent decrease

For the first six months of fiscal 2012, net sales of $\$ 903.7$ million increased $\$ 110.8$ million, or 14.0 percent versus the prior year.The increase in net sales was broad-based across the business. Foreign exchange rate changes increased net sales by approximately $\$ 8.8$ million in fiscal 2012 year-to-date.

Orders in the second quarter were $\$ 440.0$ million, a decrease of $\$ 21.8$ million or 4.7 percent over the same period last year. On a sequential
quarter basis, consolidated orders decreased 8.6 percent. Subsequent to the first quarter of fiscal 2012 we implemented a general price increase averaging 2.5 percent. As a result, we estimate that between $\$ 10$ million and $\$ 12$ million of new orders were received in the first quarter that would have otherwise been entered in the second quarter of fiscal 2012.

For the first six months of fiscal 2012, consolidated orders of $\$ 921.4$ million increased $\$ 65.7$ million, or 7.7 percent versus the prior year.
The backlog of unfilled orders at December 3, 2011 was $\$ 280.8$ million, a decrease of 33.8 million or 10.7 percent over the balance at the end of the second quarter last year.

## Performance versus the Domestic Contract Furniture Industry.

We monitor the trade statistics reported by BIFMA, the trade association for the U.S. domestic office furniture industry, and consider them an indicator of industry-wide sales and order performance. BIFMA publishes statistical data for the contract segment and the office supply segment within the U.S. furniture market. The U.S. contract segment is primarily composed of large to mid-size corporations serviced by a network of dealers. The office supply segment is primarily made up of smaller customers serviced by wholesalers and retailers. We primarily participate in, and believe we are a leader in, the contract segment. While comparisons to BIFMA are important, we continue to pursue a strategy of revenue diversification that makes us less reliant on the drivers that impact BIFMA and lessens our dependence on the U.S. office furniture market.

We also use BIFMA statistical information as a benchmark for the performance of our domestic U.S. business (as defined by BIFMA) and also to that of our competitors. The timing of large project-based business may affect comparisons to this data. We remain cautious about reaching conclusions regarding changes in market share based on analysis of data on a short term basis. Instead, we believe such conclusions should only be reached by analyzing comparative data over several quarters.

While the sales and order data for our U.S. operations provide a relative comparison to BIFMA, it is not intended to be an exact comparison. The data we report to BIFMA is consistent with the BIFMA definition of office furniture "consumption." This definition differs slightly from the categorization we have presented in this report. Notwithstanding this difference, we believe our presentation provides the reader with a more relevant comparison.

For the three-month period ended December 3, 2011, our domestic U.S. shipments, as defined by BIFMA, increased 10.5 percent year-over-year, while domestic orders decreased 5.3 percent. BIFMA reported an estimated year-over-year increase in shipments of 3.1 percent and a decrease in orders of 2.7 percent for the comparable period.

## Consolidated Gross Margin

Consolidated gross margin in the second quarter was 34.1 percent of net sales, an increase of 120 basis points compared to the second quarter last year. This increase was driven primarily by the company's recent price increases net of incremental discounting, which was partially offset by higher commodity costs during the quarter. In addition, incentive bonus expenses were lower relative to this same period last year.

Direct material costs at 42.7 percent of net sales decreased 30 basis points as a percent of net sales from the second quarter last year. This decrease was primarily driven by the price increases effect of increasing net sales, which was partially offset by higher commodity costs.

Direct labor at 6.6 percent of net sales for the second quarter was 30 basis points higher than the same period last year. This increase was primarily driven by a reduction in sales through the owned dealer network due to the divestitures of two dealerships in Texas and Colorado in the first quarter and a dealership in California in the second quarter which had the effect of increasing direct labor as a percent of sales.

Manufacturing overhead was 10.8 percent of net sales for the second quarter decreasing 110 basis points from the prior year period. This decrease was driven primarily by reduced overhead costs due to the divestitures of two dealerships in Texas and Colorado in the first quarter and a dealership in California in the second quarter as well as a decrease in employee incentive costs.

Freight costs were $4.1 \%$ of net sales for the second quarter decreasing 10 basis points compared to the same period last year.
Cost of sales and resulting gross margin are affected by changes in foreign currency exchange rates. During the second quarter we estimate the impact to be an improvement to gross margin of $\$ 0.8$ million.

On a sequential-quarter basis, consolidated gross margins increased 40 basis points from 33.7 percent of net sales reported in the first quarter of fiscal 2012. This increase is driven primarily by cost leverage on higher production and lower incentive costs.

For the first six months of fiscal 2012 consolidated gross margin was 33.9 percent of net sales, an increase of 120 basis points over the gross margin reported in the same period last year. The increase is driven primarily by cost leverage on higher production.

Second quarter operating expenses were $\$ 111.4$ million, or 25.0 percent of net sales, which is an increase of 20 basis points as a percent of net sales, and an increase of $\$ 9.2$ million from the second quarter of fiscal 2011. Approximately $\$ 4.4$ million of this increase relates to favorable adjustments made in the prior year period related to Nemschoff purchase contingencies. Much of the remaining increase was driven by variable expenses including royalties, warranty and sales incentives related to the growth in net sales between periods as well as an increase in employee wages and benefits. No restructuring costs were incurred in the second quarter of fiscal 2012, as compared to $\$ 2.1$ million of restructuring costs included in the second quarter of fiscal 2011.

Operating expenses are also impacted by changes in foreign currency exchange rates. During the second quarter of fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, we estimate the impact to operating expenses to be an increase of approximately $\$ 0.2$ million and decrease of $\$ 0.1$ million, respectively.

Operating earnings in the second quarter were $\$ 40.7$ million compared to earnings of $\$ 31.5$ million in the same period last year. As a percentage of net sales, operating earnings were 9.1 percent as compared to operating earnings of 7.6 percent in the prior year.

For the first six months of fiscal 2012 operating expenses were $\$ 223.9$ million, or 24.8 percent of net sales, which is a increase of 10 basis points from the same period last year. The increase in operating expenses as a percent of net sales was primarily driven by factors mentioned above in the quarterly comparison.

## Other Income/Expense and Income Taxes

Net other expenses of $\$ 5.1$ million in the second quarter of fiscal 2012 were $\$ 0.1$ million lower compared to the prior year second quarter of $\$ 5.2$ million. For the quarter, interest expense of $\$ 4.7$ million is $\$ 0.4$ million less than the same period last year due to the net reduction of $\$ 50$ million of our long-term debt which occurred during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011.

Net other expenses of $\$ 10.1$ million in the first six months of fiscal 2012 were $\$ 1.1$ million lower than the prior year period of $\$ 11.2$ million. The decrease in the current year was primarily driven by a reduction in interest expense due to our reduced long-term debt levels.

The effective tax rates for the three months ended December 3, 2011 and November 27, 2010 were 33.4 percent and 32.0 percent, respectively. The effective tax rates for the six months ended December 3, 2011 and November 27, 2010 were 33.3 percent and 31.3 percent, respectively. The effective rate in the current year is below the statutory rate primarily due to the manufacturing deduction under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (AJCA). The effective tax rate in the prior year was below the statutory rate primarily due to the AJCA and foreign tax credits recognized related to a dividend paid. The company expects the full year rate to be in the range of 32 percent to 34 percent.

## Reportable Operating Segments

The business is comprised of various operating segments as defined by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. These operating segments are determined on the basis of how we internally report and evaluate financial information used to make operating decisions. For external reporting purposes, we have identified the following reportable segments:

- North American Furniture Solutions - Includes the operations associated with the design, manufacture, and sale of furniture products for work-related settings, including office, education, and healthcare environments, throughout the United States and Canada. The business associated with the company's owned contract furniture dealers is also included in the North American Furniture Solutions segment. In addition, the Healing operating segment has been aggregated with the North American Furniture Solutions segment.
- Non-North American Furniture Solutions - Includes the operations associated with the design, manufacture, and sale of furniture products, primarily for work-related settings, for Mexico and outside of North America as well as our Non-North America consumer retail business.
- Specialty and Consumer - Includes the operations associated with the design, manufacture, and sale of high-end furniture products including Geiger wood products, Herman Miller Collection products and our North American consumer retail business.

The reportable segments described above reflect a change made to our reportable segments during the first quarter of fiscal year 2012. The modification is a result of an organizational realignment whereby our operations related to the consumer retail business, the Herman Miller Collection, and Geiger are now the responsibility of one segment manager.

The company also reports a corporate category consisting primarily of startup business and unallocated corporate expenses including restructuring and impairment costs.

The current quarter and prior year period segment results are as follows:

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 3, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { November 27, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | Change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 3, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { November 27, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | Change |  |
| Net Sales: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North American Furniture Solutions | \$ | 321.7 | \$ | 307.6 | \$ | 14.1 | \$ | 652.2 | \$ | 593.5 | \$ | 58.7 |
| Non-North American Furniture Solutions |  | 87.5 |  | 72.7 |  | 14.8 |  | 172.4 |  | 139.5 |  | 32.9 |
| Specialty and Consumer |  | 36.4 |  | 31.9 |  | 4.5 |  | 79.1 |  | 59.9 |  | 19.2 |
| Corporate |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total | \$ | 445.6 | \$ | 412.2 |  |  | \$ | 903.7 | \$ | 792.9 |  |  |
| Operating Earnings (Loss): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North American Furniture Solutions | \$ | 28.5 | \$ | 27.4 | \$ | 1.1 | \$ | 56.8 | \$ | 53.9 | \$ | 2.9 |
| Non-North American Furniture Solutions |  | 8.8 |  | 4.0 |  | 4.8 |  | 18.4 |  | 6.7 |  | 11.7 |
| Specialty and Consumer |  | 3.6 |  | 2.9 |  | 0.7 |  | 7.8 |  | 4.9 |  | 2.9 |
| Corporate |  | (0.2) |  | (2.8) |  | 2.6 |  | (0.5) |  | (4.8) |  | 4.3 |
| Total | \$ | 40.7 | \$ | 31.5 |  |  | \$ | 82.5 | \$ | 60.7 |  |  |

Further information regarding the reportable operating segments can be found in Note 14.
Net sales within the North American Furniture Solutions segment were up $\$ 14.1$ million to $\$ 321.7$ million in the second quarter, representing a 4.6 percent increase over the second quarter last year. Orders within the North American segment decreased by $\$ 45.7$ million to $\$ 306.2$ million compared to $\$ 351.9$ million in the second quarter last year. The deconsolidation of the three dealers sold during fiscal 2012 lead to approximately a $\$ 10$ million decrease in both net sales and orders during the current quarter within this segment.

Operating earnings in the second quarter within the North American segment were $\$ 28.5$ million, up from $\$ 27.4$ million in the second quarter last year. This represents an increase of $\$ 1.1$ million or 4.0 percent over the same period last year. Operating earnings in the prior year included $\$ 4.4$ million relating to favorable adjustments to Nemschoff purchase contingencies.

Net sales within the Non-North American Furniture Solutions segment were $\$ 87.5$ million in the second quarter, an increase of $\$ 14.8$ million from the second quarter of fiscal 2011 net sales of $\$ 72.7$ million. This increase is attributable to significant increases in Europe, Asia and Latin America.

Operating income in the second quarter for the Non-North American segment was $\$ 8.8$ million, an increase of $\$ 4.8$ million from operating income of $\$ 4.0$ million in the second quarter of last year. This increase was driven primarily by the increased profitability of the segment. During the second quarter we estimate the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates to have improved operating income by approximately $\$ 0.6$ million.

Net sales within the Specialty and Consumer segment were $\$ 36.4$ million compared to $\$ 31.9$ million in the prior year period. This increase is attributable to growth in our Geiger wood products and specialty retail.

Financial Condition, Liquidity, and Capital Resources
The table below presents certain key cash flow and capital highlights for the periods indicated.
(In millions)

Cash and cash equivalents, end of period
Marketable securities, end of period
Cash provided by operating activities
Cash provided by (used in) investing activities
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities
Capital expenditures
Stock repurchased and retired
Interest-bearing debt, end of period ${ }^{(1)}$
Available unsecured credit facility, end of period ${ }^{(2)}$
${ }^{(1)}$ Amounts shown include the fair market values of the company's interest rate swap arrangements. The net fair value of these arrangements totaled $\$ 0.6$ million at November 27, 2010. The company does not have interest rate swap arrangements as of December 3, 2011.
${ }^{(2)}$ Amounts shown are net of outstanding letters of credit of $\$ 9.9$ million and $\$ 9.4$ million at December 3, 2011 and November 27, 2010, respectively, which are applied against the company's unsecured credit facility.

Cash Flow - Operating Activities
Cash generated from operating activities was $\$ 38.7$ million for the six months ended December 3, 2011, as compared to $\$ 30.5$ million in the prior year.
Six months Ended December 3, 2011
Through the first six months of fiscal 2012, changes in working capital balances drove a use of cash totaling $\$ 31.7$ million. The main factors impacting working capital were an increase in accounts receivable and inventory balances of $\$ 15.0$ million and $\$ 9.2$ million, respectively. These amounts were partially offset by a decrease in prepaid balances of $\$ 12.4$ million. A decrease in accrued compensation and bonus of $\$ 22.0$ million also contributed to the use of cash. The company also contributed cash contributions of $\$ 3.7$ million to the its primary domestic benefit plan during the first six months of fiscal 2012 compared to $\$ 8.9$ million in the prior year period.

Six months Ended November 27, 2010
Through the first six months of the prior year, changes in working capital balances drove a use of cash totaling $\$ 16.5$ million. The main factors impacting working capital were an increase in accounts receivable and inventory balances of $\$ 46.8$ million and $\$ 19.5$ million, respectively. These amounts were partially offset by an increase in accounts payable, accrued bonus, other accrued compensation and accrued income taxes of $\$ 25.2$ million, $\$ 8.9$ million, $\$ 7.2$ million, and $\$ 4.9$ million, respectively.

## Cash Flow - Investing Activities

The most significant cash outflow during fiscal 2012 relates to investments in capital assets. The company purchased $\$ 5.6$ million and $\$ 13.2$ million in capital assets for the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2012, respectively. This compares to $\$ 6.4$ million and $\$ 12.2$ million for the comparable periods in the prior year. At the end of the second quarter 2012, there were outstanding commitments for capital purchases of $\$ 5.9$ million. The company expects that full-year capital purchases to be approximately $\$ 35$ million. This compares to full-year capital spending of $\$ 30.5$ million in fiscal 2011. The company also received cash proceeds of $\$ 6.2$ million from the sale of a dealership during the current quarter and $\$ 13.8$ million year-to-date, which includes the sale of two dealers during the first quarter of fiscal 2012.

## Cash Flow - Financing Activities

Cash outflows from financing activities were $\$ 0.9$ million during the second quarter of fiscal 2012 and $\$ 0.1$ million year-to-date. Cash outflows for dividend payments were $\$ 2.5$ million or $\$ 0.044$ per share during the first six months of both fiscal 2012 and 2011.

Outstanding standby letters of credit totaled $\$ 9.9$ million and are considered as usage against our unsecured revolving credit facility at the end of the second quarter fiscal 2012. At the end of the second quarter the availability under this credit facility was $\$ 140.1$ million. The provisions of the private placement notes and unsecured credit facility require that the company adhere to certain covenant restrictions and maintain certain performance ratios. The company was in compliance with all such restrictions and performance ratios this quarter and expect to remain in compliance in the future.

The company believes cash on hand, cash generated from operations, and the borrowing capacity will provide adequate liquidity to fund near
term and future business operations and capital needs. Management is also exploring options related to the funding and operations of the employee benefit plans.

## Contractual Obligations

Contractual obligations associated with the ongoing business and financing activities will require cash payments in future periods. A table summarizing the amounts and estimated timing of these future cash payments was provided in the company's Form 10-K filing for the year ended May $28,2011$.

## Guarantees

The company provides certain guarantees to third parties under various arrangements in the form of product warranties, loan guarantees, standby letters of credit, lease guarantees, performance bonds and indemnification provisions. These arrangements are accounted for and/or disclosed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 460, Guarantees, as described in Note 13 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

## Variable Interest Entities

On occasion, the company provides financial support to certain independent dealers in the form of term loans, lines of credit, and/or loan guarantees that may represent variable interests in such entities. As of December 3, 2011, the company was not considered the primary beneficiary of any such dealer relationships under FASB ASC Topic 810, Consolidation. Accordingly, the company is not required to consolidate the financial statements of any of these entities during the first six months of fiscal 2012.

The risk and rewards associated with the interests in these dealerships are primarily limited to the outstanding loans and guarantee amounts. As of December 3 , 2011, the company's maximum exposure to potential losses, net of reserve amounts, related to outstanding loans to these other entities was zero.

## Contingencies

See Note 13 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

## Critical Accounting Policies

The company strives to report financial results clearly and understandably. The company follows accounting principles generally accepted in the United States in preparing our consolidated financial statements, which require certain estimates and judgments that affect the financial position and results of operations for the company. The company continually reviews the accounting policies and financial information disclosures. A summary of the more significant accounting policies that require the use of estimates and judgments in preparing the financial statements is provided in the company's Form 10-K filing for the year ended May 28, 2011. During the first six months of fiscal 2012, there was no material change in the accounting policies and assumptions previously disclosed.

## New Accounting Standards

See Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

## Safe Harbor Provisions

Certain statements in this filing are not historical facts but are "forward-looking statements" as defined under Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended. Such statements are based on management's beliefs, assumptions, current expectations, estimates and projections about the office furniture industry, the economy and the company itself. Words like "anticipates," "believes," "confident," "estimates," "expects," "forecasts," "likely," "plans," "projects," "should," variations of such words, and similar expressions identify such forward-looking statements. These statements do not guarantee future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties, and assumptions that are difficult to predict with regard to timing, extent, likelihood, and degree of occurrence. These risks include, without limitation, employment and general economic conditions, the pace of economic activity in the U.S. and in our international markets, the increase in white collar employment, the willingness of customers to undertake capital expenditures, the types of products purchased by customers, competitive pricing pressures, the availability and pricing of raw materials, our reliance on a limited number of suppliers, currency fluctuations, the ability to increase prices to absorb the additional costs of raw materials, the financial strength of our dealers, the financial strength of our customers, the mix of our products purchased by customers, our ability to attract and retain key executives and other qualified employees, our ability to continue to make product innovations, the success of newly introduced products, our ability to obtain targeted margins from new products, our ability to serve all of our markets, possible acquisitions, divestitures or alliances, the outcome of pending litigation or governmental audits or investigations, political risk in the international markets we serve, and other risks identified in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Therefore, actual results and outcomes may materially differ from what we express or forecast. Furthermore, Herman Miller, Inc. undertakes no obligation to update, amend, or clarify forward-looking statements.

The information concerning quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk contained in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for its fiscal year ended May 28, 2011 is incorporated herein by reference.

## Direct Material Costs

The company is exposed to risks arising from market price changes for certain direct materials used in its manufacturing processes. The largest direct material costs incurred by the company are for steel, plastic/textiles, wood particleboard, and aluminum components. The market price of plastics and textiles are sensitive to the cost of oil and natural gas. The cost of wood particleboard has been impacted by continual downsizing of production capacity in the wood market. Aluminum component prices are sensitive to changes in energy costs associated with the conversion of raw materials to aluminum ingots.

## Defined Benefit Pension Plans

The company is exposed to risks in its defined benefit pension plan balance sheet liability arising from sensitivity to changes in yields on high-quality corporate bonds, which are used to determine the Projected Benefit Obligation (PBO), and on actual market returns on plan assets. An increase or decrease to bond yields causes an inverse effect on the PBO and increased or decreased returns on assets have a corresponding one-to-one effect on the balance sheet liability. A decline in the value of pension plan assets or rise in pension plan PBO could result in increases to the balance sheet pension liability, increases in pension expense, and increases in required funding. At the end of fiscal year 2011 the discount rate used for establishing the primary U.S. defined benefit plan's balance sheet liability and projected fiscal 2012 net periodic benefit costs was 4.75 percent. As a rule of thumb, the company views a change of 100 basis points (in this discount rate) as having a 10 percent effect on the plan's Projected Benefit Obligation or an approximately $\$ 30$ million effect on the pension balance sheet liability. Generally, both the PBO and plan assets are determined as of the fiscal year-end measurement date.

## Foreign Exchange Risk

The company manufactures its products in the United States, United Kingdom and China. It also sources completed products and product components from outside the United States. The company's completed products are sold in numerous countries around the world. Sales in foreign countries as well as certain expenses related to those sales are transacted in currencies other than the company's reporting currency, the U.S. dollar. Accordingly, production costs and profit margins related to these sales are affected by the currency exchange relationship between the countries where the sales take place and the countries where the products are sourced or manufactured. These currency exchange relationships can also affect the company's competitive positions within these markets.

In the normal course of business, the company enters into contracts denominated in foreign currencies. The principal foreign currencies in which the company conducts its business are the British pound sterling, European euro, Canadian dollar, Australian dollar, Japanese yen, Mexican peso, Brazilian real, Indian rupee and Chinese renminbi. As of December 3, 2011, eleven contracts in total were placed to offset various currency exposures. To offset net asset exposure denominated in non-functional currency, seven forwards contracts were placed including two forward contracts to sell 4.3 million euros, four forward contracts to sell 5.7 million U.S. dollars, and one forward contract to sell 0.5 million Australian dollars. Conversely, four contracts were placed to offset the company's net liability exposure denominated in non-functional currency. These contracts included four forward contracts to buy 4.6 million U.S. dollars. As of May 28 , 2011, the company had outstanding, sixteen forward currency instruments designed to offset either net asset or net liability exposure that is denominated in nonfunctional currencies. Two forward contracts were placed to offset a 3.1 million euro-denominated net asset exposure and five forward contracts were placed to offset a 7.6 million U.S. dollar-denominated net asset exposure. One forward contract was placed to offset 0.4 million Australian dollar-denominated net asset exposure. Eight forward contracts were placed to offset a 2.4 million U.S.dollar-denominated net liability exposure.

## Item 4: Controls and Procedures

## Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision of, and with the participation of management, the company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of December 3, 2011, and have concluded that as of that date, the company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

## Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) during the quarterly period ended December 3, 2011, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting.

## Item 1: Legal Proceedings

Referred to in Note 13 of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Item 1A: Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the information provided in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended May 28, 2011.

Item 2: Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
(A) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following is a summary of share repurchase activity during the quarter ended December 3, 2011.

Period
9/4/11-10/1/11
10/2/11-10/29/11
10/30/11-12/3/11
Total
${ }^{(1)}$ No shares were purchased outside of a publicly announced plan or program.
(c) Total Number of Shares (or Units)
Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs
(d) Maximum Number (or

Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that
may yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs

168,677,710
168,614,641
166,668,431

No repurchase plans expired or were terminated during the second quarter of fiscal 2012, nor do any plans exist under which the company does not intend to make further purchases.

During the period covered by this report, the company did not sell any of its equity shares that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933.

Item 4: Reserved

Item 5: Other Information - None
Item 6: Exhibits
The following exhibits (listed by number corresponding to the Exhibit table as Item 601 in Regulation S-K) are filed with this Report:
31.1 Certificate of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2 Certificate of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1 Certificate of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2 Certificate of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101 The following materials from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended December 3, 2011, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets as of December 3, 2011, and May 28, 2011, (ii) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations for the three-months ended December 3, 2011 and November 27, 2010 and for the six-months ended December 3, 2011 and November 27, 2010, (iii) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the six-months ended December 3, 2011 and November 27, 2010, and (iv) Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.*
*Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereto duly authorized.

HERMAN MILLER, INC.
/s/ Brian C. Walker
Brian C. Walker
Chief Executive Officer
(Duly Authorized Signatory for Registrant)

January 11, 2012
/s/ Gregory J. Bylsma
Gregory J. Bylsma
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer and Duly Authorized Signatory for Registrant)

## I, Brian C. Walker, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended December 3, 2011, of Herman Miller, Inc;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: January 11, 2012
Is/ Brian C. Walker
Brian C. Walker
Chief Executive Officer

## I, Gregory J. Bylsma, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form $10-\mathrm{Q}$ for the period ended December 3, 2011, of Herman Miller, Inc;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: January 11, 2012
/s/ Gregory J. Bylsma
Gregory J. Bylsma
Chief Financial Officer

I, Brian C. Walker, Chief Executive Officer of the company, certify to the best of my knowledge and belief pursuant to Section 906 of SarbanesOxley Act of 2002 that:
(1) The quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended December 3, 2011, which this statement accompanies, fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
(2) The information contained in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 3, 2011 fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the company

Dated: January 11, 2012
Is/ Brian C. Walker
Brian C. Walker
Chief Executive Officer
The signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to Herman Miller, Inc. and will be retained by Herman Miller, Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

## CERTIFICATE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

OF HERMAN MILLER, INC. (THE "COMPANY")

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002:
I, Gregory J. Bylsma, Chief Financial Officer of the company, certify to the best of my knowledge and belief pursuant to Section 906 of SarbanesOxley Act of 2002 that:
(1) The quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended December 3, 2011, which this statement accompanies, fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
(2) The information contained in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 3, 2011 fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the company.

Dated: January 11, 2012
Is/ Gregory J. Bylsma
Gregory J. Bylsma
Chief Financial Officer
The signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906 , has been provided to Herman Miller, Inc. and will be retained by Herman Miller, Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

